UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/700,548 | 11/05/2003 | Laurence Gerald Hughes | Q78134 | 6075 |
| 23373 7590 06/16/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | AZPURU, CARLOS A | |
| SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1615 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/16/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | ummarv 10/700,548 HUGHES ET AL. | HUGHES ET AL. | | | |
| interview Guinnary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Carlos A. Azpuru | 1615 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Carlos A. Azpuru</u> . | (3) | | | | |
| (2) <u>Travis Rebar</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>12 June 2008</u> . | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/a</u> . | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | | | |
| reached, or any other comments: Mr Rebar called for clarification of the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.It was suggested that some generic polymer or polymer structure be incorporated into the claims since only the monomeric units are set out in the claims. The actual polymer is never set out. Applicant will look for support in the specification for a generic polymeric structure. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| | /Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 16 Examiner's signature, if required | | | | |